

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/930,886	08/14/2001	Cuong D. Do	CD9440P	1825
7590 03/22/2005		EXAMINER		
Kenton R. Mullins			SAM, PHIRIN	
	yan & Mullins, LLP			B / BDB) W / BDB
Suite 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
4 Venture			2661	
Irvine, CA 92618			DATE MAILED: 03/22/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/930,886	DO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Phirin Sam	2661				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 A	ugust 2001.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or subject to restriction.	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 14 August 2001 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	a) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
PHIRIN SAM						
Attachment(s) PRIMARY EXAMINER						
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/930,886

Art Unit: 2661

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because these drawings are informal. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Abstract of the Disclosure: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A brief narrative of the disclosure as a whole in a single paragraph of 150 words or less commencing on a separate sheet following the claims. In an international application which has entered the national stage (37 CFR 1.491(b)), the applicant need not submit an abstract commencing on a separate sheet if an abstract was published with the international application under PCT Article 21. The abstract that appears on the cover page of the pamphlet published by the International Bureau (IB) of the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) is the abstract that will be used by the USPTO. See MPEP § 1893.03(e).

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains more than 150 words and more than one sheet. Therefore, the appropriate correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/930,886 Page 3

Art Unit: 2661

4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Regarding BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS, all drawings should be provided brief descriptions. Each drawing is described briefly under this sub-title. Therefore, the drawings 8 to 21 should be briefly described under this sub-title.

5. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,490,443 hereinafter referred to as "Freeny" in view of US Patent 6,829,475 hereinafter referred to as "Lee".

Application/Control Number: 09/930,886

Art Unit: 2661

Freeny discloses the invention (claims 1 and 2) as claimed including a pervasive computing network, comprising:

Page 4

- (a) a plurality of first access controllers connected together on a first local area network, each of the first access controllers (see Figs. 1-2, elements 8a and 50) including radio frequency transceiver (see Fig. 2, element 21) constructed to transmit and receive radio frequency signals within a range less than about 100 meters (see col. 34, lines 59-66, and col. 38, lines 25-33, where Bluetooth is a local wireless technology and can transmit or receive the frequency signals within the short range), wherein at least two of the ranges of the first access controllers overlap one another and first access controllers are constructed to communicate with a consumer touchpoint device (see Figs. 1 and 2, elements 5a, 5b, 8a, and 50, col. 4, lines 60-67, and col. 5, lines 1-9, 35-51). Wherein the first access controllers (8a) show only one for the purpose of illustration. Practically, there are more than one access controllers;
- (b) a first communication line connecting first plurality of access controllers to a wide area network (see Fig. 1, element 15a, col. 5, lines 4-9);
- (c) a plurality of second access controllers (Figs. 1 and 2, elements 8b and 5) connected together on second access controllers connected together on a second local area network, each of the second access controllers (see Fig. 2, element 50) including a radio frequency transceiver (see Fig. 2, element 21) constructed to transmit and receive radio frequency signals within a rage less than about 100 meters, wherein least two of ranges of the second access controllers overlap one another and the second access controllers are constructed communicate with the consumer touch-point device (see Figs. 1 and 2, elements 5c, 5d, 8b, and 50, col. 4, lines 60-67, and col. 5,

Art Unit: 2661

lines 1-9, 35-51). Wherein the second access controllers (8b) show only one for the purpose of illustration. Practically, there are more than one access controllers;

- (d) a second communication line connecting the second plurality of access controllers to the wide area network (see Fig. 1, element 15b, col. 5, lines 4-9);
- (e) a knowledge center (Fig. 1, element 30) connected to the wide area network in communication with the plurality of first access controllers (Fig. 1, element 8a) and the plurality of second access controllers (Fig. 1, element 8b), the knowledge center (Fig. 1, element 30) being configured to communicate with the consumer touch-point device (Fig. 1, elements 5a-5d, 40) by pushing un-requested data to the consumer touch-point device when the consumer touch-point device is within one of the ranges of the plurality of first access controllers and the plurality of second access controllers (see Fig. 1, element 30, col. 4, lines 60-67, and col. 5, lines 1-19).

Freeny does not disclose pushing un-requested data. However, Lee discloses the pushing un-request data (see Fig. 3, elements 30 and 194, col. 6, lines 14-25, and col. 11, lines 11-42). Where broadcaster 194 broadcasts or pushes the un-request data (advertisement data) to the customers. Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the pushing un-request data teaching by Lee with Freeny. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide for optional information for customers. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Lee and Freeny to obtain the invention as specified in the claims 1 and 2.

Application/Control Number: 09/930,886 Page 6

Art Unit: 2661

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Phirin Sam whose telephone number is (571) 272-3082. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:00AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Chau T Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272 - 3126. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 17, 2005

PRIMARY EXAMINER